1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TA	ACOMA
10	DANIEL PEREZ,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00095-LK-DWC
12	v.	ORDER
13	KATHRYN GREY, et al.,	
14	Defendant.	
15	The District Court referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action to United States Magistrate	
16	Judge David W. Christel. Plaintiff is proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> . Currently pending	
17	before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to file an Overlength response to Defendants' Motion for	
18	Summary Judgment (Dkt. 92), in which he seeks an additional eight pages (for a total of 32	
19	pages) to respond to Defendants' pending Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 76). In tandem	
20	with the pending motion, Plaintiff filed a Proposed Responsive Brief that is 46 pages in length,	
21	though the brief itself is 30 pages (Dkt. 93).	
22		
23		
24		

The Court grants Plaintiff's motion (Dkt. 92) and the Clerk is directed to indicate that Plaintiff's Proposed Responsive Brief (Dkt. 93) is no longer "proposed." Defendants are permitted to file a Reply of up to 15 pages in total length. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 3rd day of February, 2022. United States Magistrate Judge